

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,945	10/05/2005	Manfred Schorghuber	SCHORGHUBER ET AL 1 PCT	3616
25889 COLLARD &	7590 10/02/2009 ROE P.C	EXAMINER		
1077 NORTH	ERN BOULEVARD	NGUYEN, HUNG D		
ROSLYN, NY	11576		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/551,945	SCHORGHUBER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
HUNG NGUYEN	3742	

	HUNG NGUYEN	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period red valued red 77 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete.	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 		E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alled non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s), a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) relected:		be entered and an e	planation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
/TU B HOANG/	/HUNG NGUYEN/		

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3742

Examiner, Art Unit 3742 9/30/2009

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument filed on 9/16/2009 has been considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argued on page 6-7 of the Remark that "Taylor et al. fails to disclose or suggest Applicants' buffer device for a welding wire and welding plant containing same having the structure set forth in Applicants' claims 1 and 15." As discussed in the rejection, Taylor discloses a buffer device for a welding wire 12 (Fig. 1), wherein a wire buffer storage 16 (Fig. 1) is arranged between a wire feeder 14 (Fig. 1) provided on the welding apparatus (Fig. 1), and a further wire feeder 18 (Fig. 1) arrange within the welding torch 20 (Fig. 1) and the welding wire 12 (Fig. 1) is conducted between the two wire feeders 14 and 18 (Fig. 1) within the wire core 98 (Fig. 2), where in the wire buffer storage 16 (Fig. 1) is designed in a manner that the wire core 98 (Fig. 2) is fixed on one end in the region of the welding apparatus, with its other end being freely movable (Fig. 3, Col. 6 Lines 38-47), wherein the wire core 98 (Fig. 2) together with the welding wire 12 (Fig. 1), at least over a partial region, is arranged to be freely movable within a wire quide hose 96 (Fig 2) having a substantially larger cross section of the wire core 98 (Fig 2), and wherein the storage volume of the wire buffer storage 16 (Fig. 1) is defined by the cross section and the length of the substantially larger wire guide hose 96 (Fig. 2). Therefore, Taylor et al. reference meets all the limitations in claim 1. Furthermore, the applicant seems to argue more than in the claim limitations such as "the primary reference to Taylor et al. failes to disclose or suggest a welding wire buffer as recited in applicants' claims which enable the temporary storage of small amounts of welding wire during a reverse movement of the welding wire for short time spans without it being necessary to reverse the direction of rotation of both wire feeders, that is the wire feeder provided on the welding apparatus and the wire feeder arranged in the region of the welding torch" on page 5 of the Remark.